G. R. S. 59] GPE—15,000—20 12 13

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1898 1899

~~191~~ .

(Mulgirai)

File No. S-3

Serial Nos. 1-6

Papers Filed.

Month January 1904

SUBJECT.

Sanctions The Construction of a new Temple by "poojaaris" in the new Village Byatha in place of an one in the old Village, Byata Doddaballapura Taluk which was acquired for the better Conservancy of Hesaraghatta Lands.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.

1903/m Sl

198

From The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To The Dy Secretary to the Govt
of Mysore
Mysore Dept

Dated 7th
Despatched 10th } August 03
Received

Rec'd
10/8/03

General No.
S No. 1464.

Enclosure No one bill

Submits for sanction a bill for
Rs 250 for constructing the temple
of Sriamma in the new village
as the old village of Byatha was
taken for the sanitary conservancy
of the Hesaraghatta tank

MGCPB—50 reams.—6-12 02

S.R.S. 1414
Serious.

Bangalore District
Dy Commissioners Office
Bangalore 4th August 1903

From.

H St S Parker Esq
Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To

L Muthusami Row Esq B.A
Dy Sessions Judge of Ulsoor
Magistrate Dept

Sir,

I have the honour to
State that the old village of Byatha
was taken up for the Sanitary Conservancy
of the Hessaraghatta tank and a new
village was formed instead. The temples
of Pyramma that existed in the old
village was to be built in the new
village from the amount of compensation
of

Of Rs 250/ awarded for the purpose

2. I therefore submit herewith an estimate for Rs 250/ for sanction

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

By Commissioner

MUZRAI

1903-04

~~1901~~ Sl 2-

From The Secretary to the Government of Mysore. Muzrai Deptt

To The Dy Comr Bangalore Dl

Dated
Despatched } 21st Aug '03
Received

General No. 509
Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No. /

His letter No. 144 dated the 7th instant submitting an estimate for constructing the temple of Pujamma in the new village as the old village of Byatha, Doddballapur Taluk, was taken up by Govt for conserving the sanitation of the

the Vessarghatta
Reservoir

—— " ——

Has the honor to
request information
on the following
points: —

i. Is the temple in
question a Muzrai
Institution? if so,
how is it endowed?
ii. How is the Com-
pensation amount now
paid by Govt held?

2. An early reply
is requested

3. The enclosures
~~are~~ is returned for refe
& reference

By order

DBC

16/8/04

Dy Secretary
Muzrai Dept

ks
17/8/03

22/10/03

Refer
to

From 1893/04 SL3
The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore Dt

To The Dy Secy to the Govt
of Mysore

Dated 17th } Muzrai Deptt
Despatched 25th } octr 1903

Received

General No.
S. No. 317

Enclosure No. ru .

By Secy's docket R 2509 of 21st
August 1903.

Re submits estimate how
information in certain points in
regard to the temple of Rajamma
in the old Byatha village of the
Doddballapur Taluk.

the Vessarghatta
Reservoirs

—— " ——

Has the honour to
request information
on the following
points

I Is the temple in
question a Muzrai
Institution? if so,
how is it endowed?

II How is the Com
pensation amount
now
paid by Govt held?

2. An early reply
is requested.

3. The enclosures
~~are~~ is returned for refe
rence & submission.

By order

DBC

16/11/04

Dy Secretary
Muzrai Dept

ks
17/8/03

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
483
MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

From 1903/04 SL 3
The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore Dt

To The Dy Secy to the Govt
of Mysore

Dated 17th } Muzrai Deptt
Despatched 25th } Oct 1903

Received

General No.
S. No. 387

Enclosure No. nil.

Dy Secy's docket No 5099 of 21st August 1903.

Re submits estimate & information in certain points in regard to the temple of Rajamma in the old Byatha village of the Doddballapur Taluk.

Chief

22/10/03

Refer

Sir No 347.

Bangalore District
Dy. Commissioner's Office
Bangalore, 17th October 1903.

From

H. H. Sharkey Esquire.
Dy. Commissioner
Bangalore District

To

L. Anantarama Rao Esquire
Dy. Secretary to the
Govt. of Mysore
Mazrai Deptt.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No. 509 dated 21st August 1903, calling for certain information regarding the Temple of Pujamma in the old Bajatha village of the Doddaballapur Taluk, I have the honor to state that the temple is a Mazrai institution, enjoying no ready money grant, but only an Inam land particularized in the margin. The Compensation amount awarded is kept in deposit in the Taluk Treasury.

2. The estimate received with the above is resubmitted for sanction.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
H. H. Sharkey
Dy. Commissioner.

Ward

Rs. As. P.
Rs-00-11 Annuradu. 6-- : Jodi. 5-- --
Survey No. 114. 3 acres. 3/Guntas

Early

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

19 —19 814

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B.A.,
DEPUTY SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

To

The Dy Commr
Bangalore Dt

Dated 17th
Despatched November 1903
Received

General No. 1230.
Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No. One

His letter no 347 dated 483
20th October 1903, submitting an Estimate for constructing the temple of Lajamma in the new village of Byatta, Doddaballapur Taluk.

In returning the Estimate unsanctioned has the honor to observe that the information given

by the Dy Commr is not-
complete.

It is reported that-
a sum of Rs 250 was paid
as compensation for the
purpose of constructing the
temple in the new village
of Byatta, the old village
having been taken up for
the sanitary conservancy
of the Hessarghatta tank —
But it is not clear whether
the Inam land attached
to the temple was also taken
up and if so, whether any
Compensation ~~was paid for~~ was separately paid for
the same ~~was similarly~~
~~paid~~, and in the latter,
case how much ~~was allotted~~ of the Inam land has
~~it~~ it is not necessary to apply
to Govt in the Revenue Dept
for an equivalent extent
of land for the benefit
of

been taken up without-
any compensation
being paid therefor

of the temple ?

2. Is the Puja being conducted in the old temple since its acquisition and is there no likelihood of the villagers contributing an equivalent amount of compensation, in view to construct the temple more substantially ?

An early reply on all these points is requested

Encl returned

Dpl-

9/11/03

SLS

1903/04

From H. H. Sparkes, Esqre
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To L. Ananthasamiah Esqr.
Dy Secy. to the
Government of
Mysore

Dated Bangalore
Despatched
Received 12/13 W February 04.
General No.
S No. 406
Enclosure No. One estimate

MYSORE (GOVERNMENT)
1720

Draft submitted
Proceedings

Dy Secy's No 1230 of 17th Feb 04
as called for
Submits particulars regarding
the Inam lands of the Pujaarees
temples in the Byatha village
Doddaballapur Tk

Encl No 706

Bangalore District

In re estimate Deputy Commissioner's office

Dated 12th February 06.

From,

H. H. Sparkes, Esquire

Deputy Commissioner

Bangalore District

To,

L. Anantaswami Rao, Esquire BA.

Deputy Secretary to the

Government of Mysore

Muzrai Department.

Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to your docket No. 1230 dated 17th November 1903, calling for certain details regarding the Inam lands of the Ranjamma temple in the Byatta village of the Doddaballapur Taluq, I have the honor to state that the Inam lands of this temple were not

not taken for the Hessaraghatta water works & hence no Compensation was paid. The puja is still being conducted in the old temple and the devotees are not prepared to pay any contribution for the construction of the temple. The estimate received with the above is resubmitted for sanction.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

Mysore

Sl 6

Read

Correspondence ending with letter No. 706 dy 12th Feb: 1904 from the Dy Commr., Bangalore District, soliciting sanction for constructing the Pujamma temple in the new village of Byatha, Doddaballapur Taluk.

R. No. 2677-8 Ecy/1904 Order thereon :—

Mysr: 53-98
dy 5.3.04.

The temple of Pujamma in the old Byatha village of the Doddaballapur Taluk is a Mysore inam endowed with the marginally noted inam lands.

Survey No.	A. G.	Assessment	Jodi
114.	3-31	6-0-0	5-0-0

2. The Dy Commr reports that the old village of Byatha was taken up for the sanitary conservancy of the Hessaraghatta tank & that a new village

was formed instead He solicits sanction to the construction of the Pujamma temple which existed in the old village, in the new village of Byata from out of the amount of compensation of Rs 250/- awarded for the purpose, and submits an Estimate therefor

3. Under the circumstances reported the Estimate is sanctioned, the charge being debited to the sum of Rs 250 paid as compensation and kept in deposit in the Taluk Treasury.

The new temple should be carefully constructed under the supervision of the Amildar, making due provision for light & air

D.F.C.
Surveyor Deputy

19/2/04

Submitted to the Hon'ble for approval
29/2/04

16169/29-2-04.

2.3.04

To
The Deputy Commr
Mangalore Dt
with the connected Estt
& compliance in original